PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: October 15, 2021

TIME: 10:28 AM

WSR 21-21-056

Agency: Public Disclosure Commission							
⊠ Original Notice							
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR							
□ Continuance of WSR							
□ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 21-15-063; or □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □							
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or							
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or							
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW							
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) Amending the rules regarding the inspection of commercial advertisers records for political advertising or electioneering communications, including advertising on digital media.							
Hearing location(s):							
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comme	nt:			
December 2, 2021	9:30 a.m.	711 Capitol Way S, Suite 206, Olympia, WA 98504	remotely at https To provi	Covid 19 protocol the hearing will conducted and streamed live c://www.youtube.com/user/WASTPDC/live de public comment via conference line during please call 1-360-522-2372.			
Date of intended ado	otion: Dece	mber 2, 2021 (Note: This is NOT					
Submit written comm	ents to:						
Name: Sean Flynn							
Address: PO Box 4090	08						
Email: pdc@pdc.wa.go	V						
Fax:							
Other:							
By (date)							
Assistance for person	ns with disa	ibilities:					
Contact Jana Greer							
Phone: 360-753-1111							
Fax:							
TTY:							
Email: pdc@pdc.wa.gov							
Other:							
By (date)							
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The proposal addresses how commercial advertisers respond to requests for records of the political advertising and electioneering communication it provides, the format for making such information available, and the content that must be disclosed.							

Reasons supporting proposal: The proposal addresses the application of the commercial advertiser rules in recognition of the evolving digital media market and the increased use of digital media by political campaigns.					
Statutory author	ity for adoption: RCW 42.	17A.110 & .345.			
Statute being im	plemented: RCW 42.17A.	110 & .345.			
Is rule necessary	y because of a:				
Federal La	☐ Yes ☒ No				
Federal Co	□ Yes ⊠ No				
State Cour	□ Yes ⊠ No				
If yes, CITATION					
Agency commer matters:	nts or recommendations,	if any, as to statutory language, implementation, er	forcement, and fiscal		
Name of propon	□ Private□ Public⊠ Governmental				
Name of agency	personnel responsible fo	or:			
	Name	Office Location	Phone		
Drafting:	Sean Flynn	711 Capitol Way S, Suite 206, Olympia, WA	360-753-1111		
Implementation:	Sean Flynn	711 Capitol Way S, Suite 206, Olympia, WA	360-753-1111		
Enforcement:	Kim Bradford	711 Capitol Way S, Suite 206, Olympia, WA	360-753-1111		
Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135? If yes, insert statement here: The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting: Name: Address: Phone: Fax:					
TTY: Email: Other:					
		RCW 34.05.328? This is may be obtained by contacting:			
	-	sclosure Commission is not required to prepare a cost-	benefit analysis under		

Regulator	y Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a	Small Busin	ess Economic Impact Statement:			
	roposal, or portions of the proposal, may be .85 RCW). Please check the box for any app		requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see ption(s):			
adopted so regulation t adopted. Citation an	olely to conform and/or comply with federal s this rule is being adopted to conform or com d description:	statute or regu ply with, and o	RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being lations. Please cite the specific federal statute or describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not			
defined by	RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of the	nis proposed r				
adopted by	a referendum.	•	ne provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was			
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is ex	kempt under F	RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)	\boxtimes	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)			
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)			
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)			
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process			
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)			
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exn of exemptions, if necessary:	kempt under F	RCW			
	n or exemptions, if necessary.					
			NO EXEMPTION APPLIES			
If the propo	osed rule is not exempt , does it impose mor	re-than-minor	costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?			
□ No	□ No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated					
☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:						
	public may obtain a copy of the small busing	ess economic	impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by			
	lame: \ddress:					
F	Phone:					
	ax:					
	TY:					
	Email:					
	Other:	Signat	III.			
Date: 10/14/2021			C d			
Name: Sean Flynn			5-74-			
Title: General Counsel			/ []			