## MODIFICATION REQUEST COVER SHEET

Name of Filer	GRETCHEN ADAMS
Reporting Period	<ul><li>☑ Annual report – calendar year 2015</li><li>☐ Candidate/Appointee report</li></ul>
Type of Request	<ul> <li>□ New</li> <li>☑ Renewal with No Change – <u>original granted on July 24, 2014</u></li> <li>□ Renewal with Change</li> </ul>
Office Held/Sought & Term	Trustee, Tacoma Community College Term expires: December 2016
Application Rule(s)	<ul> <li>Income &amp; Ownership Interest: <u>WAC 390-28-100(b)</u></li> <li>□ Personal Residence: WAC 390-28-100(d)</li> <li>□ Attorney: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(i))</li> <li>□ Judge / Judicial Candidate: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(ii))</li> <li>☑ Spousal: <u>WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(iv)</u>)</li> <li>□ Other: WAC 390-28-100(1)(a)(c)</li> </ul>
Explanation of Rule(s)	Income and ownership interests. An applicant may be exempted from reporting the information otherwise required by RCW 42.17A.710 (1)(f) and (g), if: (i) Public disclosure would violate any legally recognized confidential relationship; (ii) The information does not relate to a business entity which would be subject to the regulatory authority of the office sought or held by the applicant in whole or in part; (iii) Such reporting would present a manifestly unreasonable hardship to the applicant including but not limited to adversely affecting the competitive position of an entity in which the applicant had an interest of ten percent or more as described in RCW 42.17A.120; and (iv) The interest in question would present no actual or potential conflict with the performance of the duties of the office sought or held.
	Applicants whose spouse or registered domestic partner creates a reporting obligation for the applicant. When an applicant is required to report the activities of an entity solely because the applicant's spouse or registered domestic partner held an office, directorship, general partnership or ownership interest in the entity and the applicant does not have direct knowledge of the information that must be reported, the applicant may be allowed to satisfy the disclosure requirements of RCW 42.17A.710 (1)(g)(ii) and WAC 390-24-020 by disclosing reportable customers from whom compensation in excess of the disclosure threshold established under RCW 42.17A.710 (1)(g)(ii) has been received as follows:  (A) All payments made by the agency or jurisdiction in which the applicant seeks or holds office to the entity;  (B) The business and other governmental customers or clients of the applicant's spouse/domestic partner and of the entity of which the applicant is aware; and (C) Any other business and other governmental customers or clients of the entity whose identities are known to the applicant and whose interests are significantly affected by the agency or jurisdiction in which the applicant seeks or holds office. The commission may apply (e)(i) through (iii) of this subsection when the applicant's spouse/domestic partner is a lawyer, judge, or motor vehicle dealer.

Supporting Documents (attached)	<ul> <li>⊠ Current F-1</li> <li>⊠ Modification Application</li> <li>⊠ Prior order (if renewal) – April 23, 2015</li> </ul>
Reason(s) for Modification (as stated by filer)	<ul> <li>Ms. Adams is requesting a reporting modification that would exempt her from disclosing the business customers that paid \$12,000 or more during calendar year 2015, to Systems Consulting, LLC.</li> <li>Ms. Adams is the president of Systems Consulting, LLC with an ownership interest of 51%. Her spouse, Everette W. Adams III, is CEO and has a 49% ownership interest.</li> <li>Ms. Adams stated that she is involved in the day to day operations of Systems Consulting, LLC.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Ms. Adams stated that Systems Consulting, LLC is a professional services company located in Tacoma providing quality control management and project management, on large capital projects in the construction industry.</li> <li>Ms. Adams stated that Systems Consulting, LLC has annual sales of approximately \$1.3 million and has 20 employees.</li> <li>Ms. Adams stated that nine customers of Systems Consulting, LLC would meet the reporting threshold. She also stated that there are no reportable governmental customers.</li> <li>Ms. Adams stated that Systems Consulting, LLC is a privately held company and that a list of its customers is not publically available.</li> <li>Ms. Adams stated that the construction industry is highly competitive and that disclosing the reportable business customers would put Systems Consulting, LLC at a serious competitive disadvantage.</li> </ul>
Other Issues	Ms. Adams disclosed that Systems Consulting, LLC does not do business with Tacoma Community College.
Staff Recommendations	Approve renewal of the reporting modification with no change.