

MODIFICATION REQUEST COVER SHEET

Name of Filer	LAURA INVEEN
Reporting Period	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual report – calendar year 2018 <input type="checkbox"/> Candidate/Appointee report
Type of Request	<input type="checkbox"/> New <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Renewal with No Change – <u>original granted on August 28, 2008</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Full Commission Approval – <u>May 28, 2015</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Renewal with Change
Office Held/Sought & Term	Superior Court Judge, King County Current term expires January, 2021
Application Rule(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Income & Ownership Interest: WAC 390-28-100(b) <input type="checkbox"/> Personal Residence: WAC 390-28-100(d) <input type="checkbox"/> Attorney: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(i)) <input type="checkbox"/> Judge / Judicial Candidate: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(ii)) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spousal: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(iv) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: WAC 390-28-100(1)(a)(c)
Explanation of Rule(s)	<p>Applicants whose spouse or registered domestic partner creates a reporting obligation for the applicant. When an applicant is required to report the activities of an entity solely because the applicant's spouse or registered domestic partner held an office, directorship, general partnership or ownership interest in the entity and the applicant does not have direct knowledge of the information that must be reported, the applicant may be allowed to satisfy the disclosure requirements of RCW 42.17A.710 (1)(g)(ii) and WAC 390-24-020 by disclosing reportable customers from whom compensation in excess of the disclosure threshold established under RCW 42.17A.710 (1)(g)(ii) has been received as follows:</p> <p>(A) All payments made by the agency or jurisdiction in which the applicant seeks or holds office to the entity;</p> <p>(B) The business and other governmental customers or clients of the applicant's spouse/domestic partner and of the entity of which the applicant is aware; and</p> <p>(C) Any other business and other governmental customers or clients of the entity whose identities are known to the applicant and whose interests are significantly affected by the agency or jurisdiction in which the applicant seeks or holds office.</p> <p>The commission may apply (e)(i) through (iii) of this subsection when the applicant's spouse/domestic partner is a lawyer, judge, or motor vehicle dealer.</p>
Supporting Documents (attached)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Current F-1 (filed April 13, 2019) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Modification Application <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prior order (if renewal) – May 24, 2018
Reason(s) for Modification (as stated by filer)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Judge Inveen is requesting renewal of the reporting modification that would exempt her from disclosing the business customers that paid \$12,000, during 2018, to Kirkpatrick, Lockhart, Preston, Gates & Ellis (K & L Gates), a large law firm of which her spouse is a member with less than 1% ownership interest. Judge Inveen said in her previous request that K & L Gates had over \$1 billion dollars in revenue, with more than 13,000 business clients. The firm has 2,000 attorneys in 48 offices throughout the United States and worldwide. She stated that more than 6,000 customers would be subject to disclosure.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Judge Inveen stated that it would be a logistical hardship to provide a list of reportable business and other governmental customers of K & L Gates. She said it would also be virtually impossible to review all of the clients to determine which would have a privacy interest, or would need to give permission to have their information disclosed, as many entities do not wish the fact that they are employing a law firm to be disclosed.• Judge Inveen stated that her husband is not part of the governing structure of the firm, and does not have immediate access to the law firm's client lists without making a special request.• Judge Inveen said that she has no connection with K & L Gates. She stated that as a judge, she recuses herself from hearing any matters handled by K & L Gates, whether she knows the lawyer or not. She stated that she has made herself aware of her husband's clients and does not handle these clients' matters.• Judge Inveen has confirmed that King County Superior Court made no payments to K & L Gates during 2018 and has provided reportable governmental customers.
Other Issues	<p>Judge Inveen reported that King County Superior Court made no payments to K & L Gates during 2018</p> <p>Judge Inveen has agreed to recuse herself if a matter came before her involving a conflict of interest between K & L Gates and King County Superior Court.</p> <p>Judge Inveen has reviewed her initial reporting modification request and any subsequent renewal requests and has certified that there are no changes to the fact related to her request.</p>