## **MODIFICATION REQUEST COVER SHEET**

Name of Filer	MARGARITA V. LATSINOVA
Reporting Period	<ul> <li>Annual report</li> <li>Candidate report – previous 12 months</li> </ul>
Type of Request	<ul> <li>New</li> <li>Renewal with No Change</li> <li>Full Commission Approval</li> <li>Renewal with Change</li> </ul>
Office Held/Sought & Term	Candidate for Judge, Court of Appeals Division I Elected term would begin January 2019
Application Rule(s)	<ul> <li>□ Income &amp; Ownership Interest: WAC 390-28-100(b)</li> <li>□ Personal Residence: WAC 390-28-100(d)</li> <li>☑ Attorney: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(i))</li> <li>□ Judge / Judicial Candidate: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(ii))</li> <li>□ Spousal: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(iv))</li> <li>□ Other: WAC 390-28-100(1)(a)(c)</li> </ul>
Explanation of Rule(s)	Lawyers and law firms (when applicant is an incumbent or candidate and acts alone or as part of a governing body, board, or commission). An applicant may be allowed to satisfy the reporting requirements of RCW <u>42.17A.710</u> (1)(g)(ii) and WAC <u>390-24-020</u> by disclosing reportable clients from whom compensation has been paid in excess of the reporting threshold as follows: (A) The names of the business clients for whom the applicant has done legal work; (B) Other clients of the law firm whose interests are significantly affected by the applicant's actions as an elected or appointed official or whose actions will be affected by the applicant's action should the applicant be elected whose identities become known to the applicant through any means; (C) The names of the clients of the law firm who are listed in Martindale Hubbell, the firm's resume, web site, or similar promotional materials; and (D) Governmental clients that have done business with the law firm. An applicant may also be required to disclose all business customers from whom compensation in excess of the reporting threshold has been received whose identities are publicized or referenced in documents open for public inspection at the courts, in administrative hearings, at proceedings conducted by public agencies, or are a matter of public knowledge in other similar public forums. Alternatively, the commission may require an applicant to report only those publicly identifiable customers of which the applicant is aware.

Supporting Documents (attached)	<ul> <li>F-1 (filed May 25, 2018)</li> <li>Modification Application</li> <li>Prior Order (if renewal)</li> </ul>
Background	<ul> <li>Ms. Latsinova has provided a list of business and governmental customers she has done work for during the reporting period as well as other governmental customers of the Seattle office known to her.</li> <li>Ms. Latsinova has confirmed that Stoel Rives LLP has received no payments from the Court of Appeals Division I during the previous twelve months.</li> <li>If elected, Ms. Latsinova has agreed to recuse herself if any matter</li> </ul>
	comes before her in the Court of Appeals Division I involving the law firm of Stoel Rives LLP.
Reason(s) for Modification (as stated by filer)	<ul> <li>Ms. Latsinova is an income partner of Stoel Rives LLP, a regional law firm with offices in Oregon, Washington, Alaska, Minnesota, California and Washington D.C., employing more than 350 attorneys.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Ms. Latsinova is requesting a reporting modification that would exempt her from listing the business and governmental customers that made payments of \$12,000 or more to the law firm of Stoel Rives LLP, during the previous twelve months.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Ms. Latsinova stated that she participates in hiring decisions and approves contracts and budgets in matters she works on.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Ms. Latsinova stated that Stoel Rives LLP has thousands of clients in various states and internationally. She stated that there is no publicly available list of the law firm's business or governmental customers, nor is the customer list available to her.</li> </ul>