## **MODIFICATION REQUEST COVER SHEET**

Name of Filer	Stephanie Sevigny
Reporting Period	<ul> <li>Appointment/Final report: Covering January 1, 2021 – April 25, 2022</li> <li>Candidate report</li> </ul>
Type of Request	<ul> <li>New</li> <li>Renewal with No Change</li> <li>Full Commission Approval</li> <li>Renewal with Change</li> </ul>
Office Held/Sought & Term	School Director, East Valley SD 90 Appointed January 24, 2022 & Resigned April 25, 2022
Application Rule(s)	<ul> <li>Income &amp; Ownership Interest: <u>WAC 390-28-100(1)(b)</u></li> <li>Personal Residence: <u>WAC 390-28-100(1)(d)</u></li> <li>Attorney: <u>WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(i)</u></li> <li>Judge / Judicial Candidate: <u>WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(ii)</u></li> <li>Spousal: <u>WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(iv)</u></li> <li>Other: <u>WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)</u></li> </ul>
Explanation of Rule(s)	WAC 390-28-100 (1) Under RCW 42.17A.120, the commission or presiding officer may modify reporting requirements, including the statement of financial affairs, if literal application of the requirement would work a manifestly unreasonable hardship and the suspension or modification would not frustrate the purpose of the law. One or more of the following may be considered by the commission or presiding officer as possible qualifications for a reporting modification with respect to the statement of financial affairs, when such standard is met: (b) Income and ownership interests. An applicant may be exempted from reporting the information otherwise required by RCW 42.17A.710 (1)(f) and (g), if: (i) Public disclosure would violate any legally recognized confidential relationship that serves a legitimate business interest; (ii) The information does not relate to a business entity which would be subject to the regulatory authority of the office sought or held by the applicant in whole or in part; (iii) Such reporting would present a manifestly unreasonable hardship to the applicant including but not limited to adversely affecting the competitive position of an entity in which the applicant had an interest of ten percent or more as described in RCW 42.17A.120; and (iv) The interest in question would present no actual or potential conflict with the performance of the duties of the office sought or held.

| P a g e Modification Request Sevigny, Stephanie December 8, 2022

Supporting Documents (attached)	<ul> <li>Modification Request Application</li> <li>Attachment [Letter dated August 22, 2022 from Stephanie Sevigny]</li> <li>Current F-1 (filed October 25, 2022)</li> </ul>
Reason(s) for Modification (as stated by filer)	<ul> <li>Ms. Sevigny is requesting a partial reporting modification that would exempt her from disclosing her and her spouse's business customers that paid \$12,000 or more during the reporting period of January 1, 2021 through April 25, 2022 to their family owned businesses, Artisan Inc, Altius Construction Services LLC and Insignia Design LLC.</li> <li>Ms. Sevigny stated that the disclosure of the business customers violates confidentiality agreements with the clients and creates an extreme competitive disadvantage as a general contractor. It would detrimentally impact the family-owned small businesses.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Ms. Sevigny included in her application that Artisan Inc is a General Contracting business that has a total of 86 customer with 40 customers requiring disclosure; Altius Construction Services LLC is a construction equipment rental business has a total of 38 customers with 3 customers requiring disclosure; Insignia Design LLC is a design and drafting business has a total of 24 customers with 2 customers requiring disclosure. That is a total of 45 customers between the three entities that would require disclosure due to paying \$12,000 or more during the time from of January 1, 2021 through April 25, 2022.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Ms. Sevigny states that her husband &amp; her have lived in the East Valley community for over 20 years. In that time, they have volunteered, and their businesses have donated items, labor, and money for specific causes to EVSD 90. Neither them nor their businesses have conducted any paid business with the school district. Thus, there has been no actual or potential conflict of interest in the past, during her term on the board, or currently.</li> </ul>
Other Issues	<ul> <li>Ms. Sevigny agreed that she would have recused herself if a matter came before her involving a conflict of interest between interest between her personal interests and public duties.</li> </ul>