MODIFICATION REQUEST COVER SHEET

Name of Filer	Mark Leen
Reporting Period	□ Appual report
Reporting Period	 □ Annual report ☑ Candidate report (Filed April 28, 2025 Covering April 16, 2024
	through April 15, 2025)
Type of Request	⊠ New
	☐ Renewal with No Change
	☐ Full Commission Approval
	☐ Renewal with Change
Office	Park & Recreation Commissioner, Si View Metropolitan Park District
Held/Sought & Term	Election Year 2025
Application	☐ Income & Ownership Interest: WAC 390-28-100(b)
Rule(s)	☐ Personal Residence: <u>WAC 390-28-100(1)(d)</u>
	☐ Judge / Judicial Candidate: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(ii)
	☐ Spousal: WAC 390-28-100(1)(e)(iv)
	☐ Other: WAC 390-28-100
Explanation of Rule(s)	Lawyers and law firms (when applicant is an incumbent or candidate and acts alone or as part of a governing body, board, or commission). An applicant may be allowed to satisfy the reporting requirements of RCW 42.17A.710 (1)(g)(ii) and WAC 390-24-020 by disclosing reportable clients from whom compensation has been paid in excess of the reporting threshold as follows: (A) The names of the business clients for whom the applicant has done legal work; (B) Other clients of the law firm whose interests are significantly affected by the applicant's actions as an elected or appointed official or whose actions will be affected by the applicant's action should the applicant be elected whose identities become known to the applicant through any means; (C) The names of the clients of the law firm who are listed in Martindale Hubbell, the firm's resume, web site, or similar promotional materials; and (D) Governmental clients that have done business with the law firm. An applicant may also be required to disclose all business customers from whom compensation in excess of the reporting
	threshold has been received whose identities are publicized or referenced in documents open for public inspection at the courts, in administrative hearings, at proceedings conducted by public

	agencies, or are a matter of public knowledge in other similar public forums. Alternatively, the commission may require an applicant to report only those publicly identifiable customers of which the applicant is aware.
Supporting Documents (attached)	 ☑ Candidate F-1 (Filed April 28, 2025) ☑ Modification Application ☑ Prior Candidate F-1 Modification Order (EY23)
Reason(s) for Modification (as stated by filer)	 Mr. Leen is requesting a partial reporting modification that would exempt him from disclosing the business customers (clients) that paid \$12,000 or more during the reporting period of April 16, 2024 through April 15, 2025 to Inslee, Best, Doezie & Ryder, PS. Mr. Leen is an attorney with Inslee, Best, Doezie & Ryder, PS. Mr. Leen states the firm has approximately twenty-two active attorneys. Inslee, Best, Dozie, Ryder, PS's 2024 billings were over \$11.8 million with more than 850 active clients. Mr. Leen stated that he is unable to disclose the identity of his clients without the client's consent due to the lawyer's rules of professional conduct (RPC 1.6) and he is unaware if any of the firm's clients are listed in public sources. Mr. Leen has confirmed that there were no payments made by Si View Metropolitan Park District during the reporting period and they do not appear as a client of the firm to his knowledge.
Other Issues	Mr. Leen has agreed to recuse himself if there is a conflict of interest between his duties as an elected official and his personal interests.