

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 18-24-074, filed 11/30/18, effective 12/31/18)

WAC 390-37-005 Complaint review and categorization. (1) PDC staff, upon receiving or initiating a complaint, will promptly conduct an initial review and preliminarily assign matters to certain categories.

(2) Upon initial review, a matter may be preliminarily categorized as:

(a) Unfounded or frivolous, pursuant to WAC 390-37-060;

(b) A ~~((remedial))~~ remediable violation, pursuant to RCW 42.17A.005;

(c) Appropriate for resolution as a technical correction, pursuant to RCW 42.17A.005;

(d) A minor violation, appropriate for alternative resolution alternatives, pursuant to WAC 390-37-061;

(e) Appropriate ~~((for))~~ to initiate an investigation as to whether or not there has been a material ~~((actual))~~ violation ~~((eligible for resolution pursuant to RCW 42.17A.005(2)))~~;

(f) Appropriate for referral to the attorney general, pursuant to WAC 390-37-042; or

(g) Other status as authorized and appropriate under chapter 42.17A RCW or Title 390 WAC.

(3) Each enforcement matter will be posted ~~((by PDC staff))~~ on the PDC's public case-tracking database, where its status will be updated from time to time as appropriate until the matter is closed, to apprise the parties and general public.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 18-24-074, filed 11/30/18, effective 12/31/18)

WAC 390-37-050 Enforcement procedures—Respondent's notice of complaint. (1) Within ten days of receipt by the PDC of a complaint which on its face appears to have merit, or initiation of a complaint by the PDC staff, the PDC staff shall notify the respondent that a complaint has been filed, along with an explanation of possible next steps, including the categorization process under WAC 390-37-005. Sending the complaint to the respondent's email address of record as provided to the PDC shall constitute sufficient notice.

(2) The notice shall set forth the nature of the complaint and the statutory and/or rule provision(s) alleged to have been violated.

(3) Respondents who wish to respond must file their response electronically within fourteen days of being notified by PDC staff, addressing the alleged noncompliance in the complaint. The response may address the respondent's view of which category or categories appropriately address the alleged noncompliance pursuant to WAC 390-37-005 ~~((remedial))~~ remediable, technical corrections, etc.). The PDC staff may provide for a shorter response period ~~((for complaints received within sixty days of an))~~ in order to conclude a timely investigation so the public will not be deprived of important information before the election.

(4) If an alternative response to the alleged violation has been issued as provided by this chapter, the notice shall also describe that response, including any conditions the respondent is required to meet.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 18-24-074, filed 11/30/18, effective 12/31/18)

WAC 390-37-060 ((Enforcement)) Case initiation and resolution procedures—Alternative responses to noncompliance—Technical corrections—Investigation of complaints—Initiation of adjudicative proceeding.

(1) Upon receipt or initiation of a complaint, the PDC staff will conduct an initial review (~~((of the complaint))~~) pursuant to WAC 390-37-005.

(a) If the executive director determines that any complaint is obviously unfounded or frivolous, or outside of the PDC's jurisdiction, the executive director will inform the complainant and, as appropriate, the respondent why no further action is warranted.

(b) The executive director may resolve a matter as a technical correction pursuant to RCW 42.17A.755. PDC staff will notify the respondent of the need to make a correction and the deadline by which that correction must be made. The deadline will be no less than ~~((five))~~ two days and no more than thirty days from the date of the notification. The failure to make the requested correction may result in the initiation of an investigation or other enforcement action.

(c) The executive director may resolve a matter as a ~~((remedial))~~ remediable violation pursuant to RCW 42.17A.755.

(d) The executive director may resolve any complaint that alleges minor violations of chapter 42.17A by issuing a formal written warning. If the resolution is conditioned upon the respondent reaching or maintaining compliance, specific expectations and any deadlines will be clearly explained in the written warning. A respondent's failure to meet conditions may result in a complaint being reopened.

(e) The executive director may use the complaint publication process set out in WAC 390-32-030 to resolve any complaint that alleges minor or technical violations of chapter 42.17A RCW.

(f) The executive director may initiate an investigation whenever an initial review of a complaint indicates that a material violation may have occurred.

(g) The executive director shall report at each regular commission meeting a summary covering the period since the previous commission meeting of all complaints initiated or received; how they were categorized; the nature of the allegations; conformance to required timelines; and actions taken and resolutions achieved pursuant to the alternatives provided for under chapter 42.17A RCW, such as dismissals, requests for technical correction, warning letters, complaint publication, statements of understanding, initiations of investigations, status reviews, stipulations, referrals to the attorney general's office, brief adjudicative proceedings, or commission hearings.

(2) If the executive director determines an investigation will require the expenditure of substantial resources, the executive director may request review and concurrence by the commission before proceeding.

(3) If the executive director determines an investigation is warranted, an initial hearing (also referred to as a "case status review") shall be held pursuant to WAC 390-37-071 within ninety days.

(4) Following the initial hearing (case status review), and further investigation if needed, the executive director may initiate an adjudicative proceeding before the commission whenever the facts support that ~~((an actual))~~ a material violation has occurred and the matter is not appropriate for a dismissal or an alternative resolution.

(5) The respondent and complainant shall be notified of the date of the adjudicative proceeding or a report on an enforcement matter resulting from a complaint no later than ten calendar days before that date. The notice shall contain the information required by RCW 34.05.434, the staff investigative report, and any charges to be adjudicated. The notice, whenever possible, will be delivered electronically.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 18-24-074, filed 11/30/18, effective 12/31/18)

WAC 390-37-061 Enforcement procedures—Alternative responses to noncompliance—Goals and objectives—Factors to be considered.

(1) In considering appropriate responses to ~~((actual))~~ violations, ~~((as that term is used in the act,))~~ the PDC staff considers whether an investigation or adjudicative proceeding constitutes an efficient and effective use of public funds; or whether an alternative response better meets the PDC's mission and public expectations by allowing the expedited resolution of minor violations, and the focusing of resources on more significant violations of chapter 42.17A RCW and Title 390 WAC.

(2) A minor violation is ~~((an actual))~~ a violation that occurs:

(a) When required information is not timely disclosed, but the public is not deprived of critical information; ~~((or))~~

(b) When incomplete information is disclosed, but a good faith effort to comply with disclosure is made, and the public is not deprived of critical information ~~((or))~~ ; or

(c) When any other violation of chapter 42.17A RCW has occurred that does not materially affect the public interest.

(3) In authorizing an alternative response to alleged noncompliance, the executive director may consider the nature of the alleged violation and any relevant circumstances including, but not limited to, the factors described in subsection (4) of this section: Provided, that, if after weighing the relevant circumstances and factors, the executive director determines that there is evidence that so warrants, the allegations shall be addressed through an investigation as provided by WAC 390-37-060.

(4) The factors the executive director may consider in permitting an alternative response to noncompliance, an investigation, or an adjudicative proceeding include, but are not limited to:

An alternative response to noncompliance may be appropriate if ...	An investigation and possible adjudicative hearing may be appropriate if ...
It appears that noncompliance resulted from a good-faith error, omission, or misunderstanding.	It appears that the noncompliance may have resulted from a knowing or intentional effort to conceal, deceive or mislead, or violate the law or rule, or from collusive behavior.

An alternative response to noncompliance may be appropriate if ...	An investigation and possible adjudicative hearing may be appropriate if ...
The respondent is a first-time filer.	The respondent has experience in complying with the applicable requirements.
The respondent's compliance history indicates the noncompliance was isolated or limited in nature, and not indicative of systematic or ongoing problems.	The noncompliance is part of a pattern of violations by the respondent, or in the case of a political committee or other entity, part of a pattern of violations by the respondent's officers, staff, principal decision makers, consultants, or sponsoring organization.
The impact of the noncompliance on the public was minimal.	The noncompliance deprived the public of timely or accurate information during a time-sensitive period in a campaign, legislative session, etc., or otherwise had a significant or material impact on the public.
The respondent's organization or campaign was relatively unsophisticated or small.	The respondent or the respondent's organization or campaign demonstrated a relatively high level of sophistication, or was well financed and staffed.
The total expenditures by the respondent in the campaign or statement period were relatively modest.	The campaign or statement period involved significant expenditures by the respondent.
The amount of late-reported activity, or the duration of the untimely disclosure, was small in proportion to the amount of activity that was timely reported by the respondent.	The late or unreported activity was significant in amount or duration under the circumstances, including in proportion to the total amount of expenditures by the respondent in the campaign or statement period.
There is no evidence that any person, including an entity or organization, benefited politically or economically from the noncompliance.	It appears the respondent or anyone else benefited politically or economically from the noncompliance.
Personal emergency or illness of the respondent or member of his or her immediate family contributed to the noncompliance.	There are no circumstances that appear to mitigate or appropriately explain the late reporting or other noncompliance.
Other emergencies such as fire, flood, or utility failure prevented compliance.	There are no circumstances that appear to mitigate or appropriately explain the late reporting or other noncompliance.
PDC staff or equipment error, including technical problems at the agency prevented or delayed electronic filing.	PDC staff or equipment error did not appear to contribute to the noncompliance.
The noncompliance resulted from the respondent's demonstrated good-faith uncertainty concerning staff guidance or instructions, a lack of clarity in the rule or statute, or uncertainty concerning the valid application of the commission's rules.	It appears the respondent understood the application of staff's guidance or instructions, and did not dispute the valid application of the commission's rules.
The respondent quickly took corrective action or initiated other remedial measures prior to any complaint, or when noncompliance was brought to respondent's attention (e.g., filing missing reports, amending incomplete or inaccurate reports, returning prohibited or over limit contributions).	The respondent appeared negligent or unwilling to address the noncompliance.
The respondent made a good-faith effort to comply, including by consulting with PDC staff following a complaint and cooperating during any preliminary investigation, or demonstrated a wish to acknowledge and take responsibility for the alleged violation.	The respondent failed to provide a timely or adequate response to the complaint, or was otherwise uncooperative.
The alleged violation was or is being addressed under an analogous local ordinance, regulation, or policy.	The commission has primary jurisdiction over the alleged violation.
The alleged violation presents a new question or issue for the commission's interpretation.	The alleged violation does not present a case of first impression.
Other factors relevant to a particular case	

WAC 390-37-062 Enforcement procedures—Alternative responses—Cases resolvable by stipulation prior to completion of investigation—Penalty schedule.

(1) The purpose of WAC 390-37-062 is to set forth a schedule of violations and penalties that may be agreed to by a respondent pursuant to a stipulation prior to an investigation, as authorized by RCW 42.17A.755. That schedule appears in the table below.

(2) A violation not set forth in the schedule may be resolved pursuant to a stipulation, provided that the proposed penalty amount is within the dollar ranges listed in the schedule.

(3) "Occasion" as used in the schedule means (~~an "actual violation," as defined in RCW 42.17A.005,~~) a violation found by the commission.

(4) Only (~~actual~~) violations within the last five years will be considered for determining whether the violation under consideration shall be deemed a second or third occasion.

(5) Any proposed stipulation shall be in writing, must include a brief recitation of the facts, violations, and penalty, and be signed by each party to the stipulation or their representative and provided by 4:00 p.m. three business days preceding the commission meeting. The executive director shall sign for PDC staff.

(6) The commission has the option of accepting, modifying or rejecting the proposed stipulation. If the commission accepts the stipulation, or modifies the stipulation with the agreement of the parties, the commission shall enter an order in conformity with the terms of the stipulation. If the commission rejects the stipulation, the commission staff may consider whether:

- (a) An investigation should be initiated; or
- (b) The matter may appropriately be resolved in another manner.

(7) In determining whether to accept the stipulation, the commission may consider the nature of the violation(s), and any aggravating and/or mitigating factors as provided in WAC 390-37-182.

Violations:			
Respondent failed to file or timely file an accurate or complete: (1) Statement of Financial Affairs (F-1 report) / (2) Candidate Registration / (C-1 report) / (3) Lobbyist Monthly Expense Report (L-2 report) / (4) Lobbyist Employer Annual Report (L-3 report) and (5) Local Treasurer's Annual Report (T-1 report).			
	1st Occasion	2nd Occasion	3rd Occasion
Filed missing report after being notified about the complaint, and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$300	\$300 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,000
Report is filed late and is incomplete or inaccurate.	\$0 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,200	\$1,200 - \$2,400
Respondent failed to file or timely file accurate and complete campaign disclosure reports:			
Cash Receipts Monetary Contributions Report (C-3 report)			
Filed missing C-3 report or amended C-3 report after being notified about the complaint, and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Failed to timely deposit monetary contributions within five business days of receipt.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Failed to include employer and occupation information for contributors of more than \$100.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Campaign Summary Receipts and Expenditures Report (C-4 report)			

Filed missing C-4 report or amended C-4 report after being notified about the complaint, and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Failed to properly report the "purpose" of an expenditure under RCW 42.17A.240(6) or 42.17A.255 (5)(b).	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Failed to properly report expenditures made on behalf of a candidate or political committee by any person, agency, firm, organization, etc.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Failed to report a contractual contingent liability.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Failed to properly dispose of surplus funds.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Failed to properly make campaign books of account available for public inspection as required immediately preceding the date of an election.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Independent Expenditure Report (C-6 report)			
Filed missing C-6 report or amended C-6 report after being notified about the complaint, and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Report is incomplete or inaccurate.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Out-of-State Political Committee Report (C-5 report)			
Filed missing C-5 report or amended C-5 report after being notified about the complaint, and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Last Minute Contribution Report (LMC report)			
Filed missing LMC report or amended LMC report after being notified about the complaint, and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Exceeding Contribution Limits			
Refunded contributions after being notified of the complaint, over limit contributions were not significant, and respondent provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Other Alleged Violations			
Exceeding Mini Reporting Threshold			
Filed C-3 and C-4 reports for full reporting after being notified about the complaint, and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Failure to file electronically			
Filed C-3 and C-4 reports electronically after being notified about the complaint, and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Use of public facilities for the purpose of assisting a campaign for the election of any person to any office, or for the promotion of or opposition to any ballot proposition.			
Use of public facilities was incidental and isolated, and evidence was not submitted indicating that the use may have affected the outcome of the election.	\$0 - \$750	\$750 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,250
Failure to file Lobbyist Registration report (L-1 report)			
Filed missing L-1 report after being notified about the complaint, and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$300	\$300 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,000
Failure to File Agency Lobbying Report (L-5 report)			
Filed missing L-5 report or amended L-5 report after being notified about the complaint, and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$300	\$300 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,000
Grassroots Lobbying Report (L-6 report)			

Filed missing L-6 report or amended L-6 report after being notified about the complaint, and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$300	\$300 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,000
Sponsor identification requirements for political advertising			
Political advertising failed to include any sponsor identification, or included improper or misleading sponsor identification.	\$0 - \$300	\$300 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,000
Party preference requirement for political advertising			
Political advertising failed to include a candidate's party preference.	\$0 - \$300	\$300 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,000
Use of current picture requirement in political advertising			
Political advertising fails to include at least one picture of the candidate used in the advertising that was taken within the last five years, that is no smaller than any other picture of the same candidate used in the same advertisement.	\$0 - \$300	\$300 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,000
Political advertising or electioneering communication—Libel or defamation per se			
Political advertising or an electioneering communication that contains a false statement of material fact about a candidate for public office.	\$0 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,200	\$1,200 - \$2,400
Political advertising or an electioneering communication that falsely represents that a candidate is the incumbent for the office sought when in fact the candidate is not the incumbent.	\$0 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,200	\$1,200 - \$2,400
Political advertising or an electioneering communication that makes either directly or indirectly, a false claim stating or implying the support or endorsement of any person or organization when in fact the candidate does not have such support or endorsement.	\$0 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,200	\$1,200 - \$2,400
Commercial advertisers—Public inspection of documents			
Commercial advertisers who after accepting or providing political advertising or electioneering communications during an election campaign fail to maintain documents or books of account as required by WAC 390-16-050.	\$0 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,200	\$1,200 - \$2,400
Candidates and political committees—Public inspection of books of accounts			
Candidates or political committees who fail to accommodate requests for public inspection as required by WAC 390-16-043.	\$0 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,200	\$1,200 - \$2,400
Limitations on employers or labor organizations			
Failed to maintain open for public inspection, during normal business hours, documents and books of accounts showing a copy of each employee's request for funds to be withheld for transfer to a political committee.	\$0 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,200	\$1,200 - \$2,400

(8) In a matter where the PDC staff have completed an investigation or resolved the matter as a technical correction, as authorized in RCW 42.17A.755, the schedule set forth in the table above is not applicable.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 18-24-074, filed 11/30/18, effective 12/31/18)

WAC 390-37-063 Enforcement procedures—Demand for information—Subpoenas. (1) During the course of a PDC audit or investigation, the executive director may issue a subpoena directed to any person who

probably possesses information which is relevant and material to the audit or the investigation. The subpoena shall:

(a) Specifically describe the information which is sought ~~((7))~~; and

(b) Set forth a reasonable time and place for the production of the information ~~((7))~~; and

(c) Notify the person that if the information is not produced, the executive director will apply to the superior court for an appropriate order or other remedy.

The subpoena may be personally delivered or sent by certified mail, return receipt requested.

(2) The commission or the presiding officer may issue a subpoena under RCW 42.17A.110(6) and WAC 390-37-120 to compel persons to appear and give testimony and may require the production of any books, papers, correspondence, text messages, records, memoranda, electronically stored data, or other evidence that the commission deems relevant and material.

(3) As provided in the act, the commission or executive director may apply in superior court for authorization to issue a subpoena before issuing the subpoena, in circumstances where appropriate.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 18-24-074, filed 11/30/18, effective 12/31/18)

WAC 390-37-070 Enforcement procedures—Complaints dismissed by executive director after an investigation has been commenced. The executive director, at any time prior to consideration by the commission, may dismiss a complaint which on its face, or as shown by investigation, ~~((does))~~ provides reason to believe that a violation has occurred, but also shows that the respondent is in substantial compliance with the relevant statutes or rules, or shows that formal enforcement action is not warranted. The executive director shall report at each regular commission meeting all complaints dismissed.

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 18-24-074, filed 11/30/18, effective 12/31/18)

WAC 390-37-100 Enforcement procedures—Conduct of hearings (adjudicative proceedings). (1) An enforcement hearing (adjudicative proceeding) shall be conducted pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act (chapter 34.05 RCW). Chapter 390-37 WAC further governs these proceedings, as supplemented by chapter 10-08 WAC. To the extent chapters 390-37 and 10-08 WAC differ, chapter 390-37 WAC controls.

(2) An adjudicative proceeding shall be heard by the commission, except for brief adjudicative proceedings which ~~((are))~~ may be conducted by ~~((the chair or the chair's designee))~~ a presiding officer pursuant to WAC 390-37-142. The commission may request through the office of administrative hearings the appointment of an administrative law judge to preside over any adjudicatory proceeding.

(3) The commission or the presiding officer shall have the authority to:

- (a) Determine the order of presentation of evidence;
- (b) Administer oaths and affirmations;
- (c) Rule on procedural matters, objections, and motions;
- (d) Rule on offers of proof and receive relevant evidence;
- (e) Pursuant to RCW 34.05.449(5), close parts of a hearing to public observation or order the exclusion of witnesses upon a showing of good cause;
- (f) Interrogate witnesses called by the parties in an impartial manner to develop any facts deemed necessary to fairly and adequately decide the matter;
- (g) Call additional witnesses and request additional exhibits deemed necessary to complete the record and receive such evidence subject to full opportunity for cross-examination and rebuttal by all parties;
- (h) Take official notice of facts pursuant to RCW 34.05.452(5);
- (i) Regulate the course of the hearing and take any appropriate action necessary to maintain order during the hearing;
- (j) Permit or require oral argument or briefs and determine the time limits for submission thereof;
- (k) Issue an order of default pursuant to RCW 34.05.440;
- (l) Take any other action necessary and authorized by any applicable statute or rule;
- (m) Waive any requirement of these rules unless a party shows that it would be prejudiced by such a waiver; and
- (n) The commission chair or the chair's designee may conduct the procedural aspects of the adjudicative proceeding under (a) through (m) of this subsection, unless a majority of members present vote to seek a full commission decision on any particular matter.

(4) The commission may decide dispositive motions, and any other matters referred to it by the presiding officer at a prehearing conference.

(5) After an adjudicative proceeding by the commission, the commission may:

- (a) Find that the respondent did not violate chapter 42.17A RCW, as alleged, and dismiss the case; or
- (b) Find that the respondent violated chapter 42.17A RCW, as alleged, and determine the sanction, if any, to be imposed; or
- (c) Find that the respondent is in apparent violation of chapter 42.17A RCW, and that the commission's statutory remedies are inadequate, and enter an order referring the matter to the attorney general or another appropriate law enforcement agency as provided in RCW 42.17A.105 and 42.17A.755.

(6) Upon the conclusion of an adjudicative proceeding or after submission of memos, briefs or proposed findings when requested by the presiding officer, the commission:

- (a) Shall set forth in writing its findings of fact, conclusions of law and decision on the merits of the case and enter an order within thirty days, unless extended by the presiding officer due to the complexity of the case or other good cause; and
- (b) Shall serve the parties by electronic communication a copy of the findings of fact, conclusions of law and decision and order.

(7) Once the commission has drafted and approved an order, the executive director is authorized to sign orders on behalf of the commission at the discretion of the commission.

WAC 390-37-182 Penalty factors. (1) In assessing a penalty, the commission considers the purposes of chapter 42.17A RCW, including the public's right to know of the financing of political campaigns, lobbying and the financial affairs of elected officials and candidates as declared in the policy of RCW 42.17A.001; and, promoting compliance with the law. The commission also considers and applies RCW 42.17A.755 and may consider any of the additional factors described in subsection (3) of this section.

(2) Under RCW 42.17A.755, the commission:

(a) May waive a penalty for a first-time (~~actual~~) violation;

(b) Shall assess a penalty for a second (~~actual~~) violation by the same person or individual, regardless if the person or individual committed the violation for a different political committee;

(c) Shall assess successively increased penalties for succeeding (~~actual~~) violations pursuant to the following schedule:

Violations:			
Respondent failed to file or timely file an accurate or complete: (1) Statement of Financial Affairs (F-1 report) / (2) Candidate Registration / (C-1 report) / (3) Lobbyist Monthly Expense Report (L-2 report) / (4) Lobbyist Employer Annual Report (L-3 report) and (5) Local Treasurer's Annual Report (T-1 report).			
	1st Occasion	2nd Occasion	3rd Occasion
Filed missing report after being notified about the complaint and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$500	\$500 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,500
Report is filed late and is incomplete or inaccurate.	\$0 - \$1,000	\$1,000 - \$2,000	\$2,000 - \$3,000
Respondent failed to file or timely file accurate and complete campaign disclosure reports:			
Cash Receipts Monetary Contributions Report (C-3 report)			
Filed missing C-3 report or amended C-3 report after being notified about the complaint and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$1,500	\$1,500- \$2,500	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Failed to timely deposit monetary contributions within five business days of receipt.	\$0 - \$1,500	\$1,500- \$2,500	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Failed to include employer and occupation information for contributors of more than \$100.	\$0 - \$1,500	\$1,500- \$2,500	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Campaign Summary Receipts and Expenditures Report (C-4 report)			
Filed missing C-4 report or amended C-4 report after being notified about the complaint and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$1,500	\$1,500- \$2,500	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Failed to properly report the "purpose" of an expenditure under RCW 42.17A.240(6) or 42.17A.255 (5)(b).	\$0 - \$1,500	\$1,500- \$2,500	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Failed to properly report expenditures made on behalf of a candidate or political committee by any person, agency, firm, organization, etc.	\$0 - \$1,500	\$1,500- \$2,500	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Failed to report a contractual contingent liability.	\$0 - \$1,500	\$1,500- \$2,500	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Failed to properly dispose of surplus funds.	\$0 - \$1,500	\$1,500- \$2,500	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Failed to properly make campaign books of account available for public inspection as required immediately preceding the date of an election.	\$0 - \$1,500	\$1,500- \$2,500	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Independent Expenditure Report (C-6 report)			
Filed missing C-6 report or amended C-6 report after being notified about the complaint and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$1,000	\$1,000 - \$2,000	\$2,500 - \$10,000

Violations:			
Respondent failed to file or timely file an accurate or complete: (1) Statement of Financial Affairs (F-1 report) / (2) Candidate Registration / (C-1 report) / (3) Lobbyist Monthly Expense Report (L-2 report) / (4) Lobbyist Employer Annual Report (L-3 report) and (5) Local Treasurer's Annual Report (T-1 report).			
	1st Occasion	2nd Occasion	3rd Occasion
Report is filed late and is incomplete or inaccurate.	\$0 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,500	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Out-of-State Political Committee Report (C-5 report)			
Filed missing C-5 report or amended C-5 report after being notified about the complaint and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$1,000	\$1,000 - \$2,000	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Last Minute Contribution Report (LMC report)			
Filed missing LMC report or amended LMC report after being notified about the complaint and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$1,000	\$1,000 - \$2,000	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Exceeding contribution limits			
Refunded contributions after being notified of the complaint, over limit contributions were not significant, and respondent provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,500	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Other Alleged Violations:			
Exceeding mini reporting threshold			
Filed C-3 and C-4 reports for full reporting after being notified about the complaint and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$1,000	\$1,000 - \$2,000	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Failure to file electronically			
Filed C-3 and C-4 reports electronically after being notified about the complaint, and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$1,000	\$1,000 - \$2,000	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Use of public facilities for the purpose of assisting a campaign for the election of any person to any office, or for the promotion of or opposition to any ballot proposition			
Use of public facilities was incidental and isolated, and evidence was not submitted indicating that the use may have affected the outcome of the election.	\$0 - \$1,000	\$1,000 - \$2,000	\$2,500 - \$10,000
Failure to File Lobbyist Registration Report (L-1 report)			
Filed missing L-1 report after being notified about the complaint and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$500	\$500 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,500
Failure to File Agency Lobbying Report (L-5 report)			
Filed missing L-5 report or amended L-5 report after being notified about the complaint and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$500	\$500 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,500
Grassroots Lobbying Report (L-6 report)			
Filed missing L-6 report or amended L-6 report after being notified about the complaint and provided written explanation with mitigating circumstances.	\$0 - \$500	\$500 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,500
Sponsor identification requirements for political advertising			
Political advertising failed to include any sponsor identification or included improper or misleading sponsor identification.	\$0 - \$500	\$500 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,500
Party preference requirement for political advertising			
Political advertising failed to include a candidate's party preference.	\$0 - \$500	\$500 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,500
Use of current picture requirement in political advertising			

Violations:			
Respondent failed to file or timely file an accurate or complete: (1) Statement of Financial Affairs (F-1 report) / (2) Candidate Registration / (C-1 report) / (3) Lobbyist Monthly Expense Report (L-2 report) / (4) Lobbyist Employer Annual Report (L-3 report) and (5) Local Treasurer's Annual Report (T-1 report).			
	1st Occasion	2nd Occasion	3rd Occasion
Political advertising fails to include at least one picture of the candidate used in the advertising that was taken within the last five years, that is no smaller than any other picture of the same candidate used in the same advertisement.	\$0 - \$500	\$500 - \$1,000	\$1,000 - \$1,500
Political advertising or electioneering communication—Libel or defamation per se			
Political advertising or an electioneering communication that contains a false statement of material fact about a candidate for public office.	\$0 - \$500	\$500 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,500
Political advertising or an electioneering communication that falsely represents that a candidate is the incumbent for the office sought when in fact the candidate is not the incumbent.	\$0 - \$500	\$500 - \$1,500	\$1,500 - \$2,500
Political advertising or an electioneering communication that makes either directly or indirectly, a false claim stating or implying the support or endorsement of any person or organization when in fact the candidate does not have such support or endorsement.	\$0 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,200	\$1,200 - \$2,400
Commercial advertisers—Public inspection of documents			
Commercial advertisers who after accepting or providing political advertising or electioneering communications during an election campaign fail to maintain documents or books of account as required by WAC 390-18-050.	\$0 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,200	\$1,200 - \$2,400
Candidates and political committees—Public inspection of books of account			
Candidates or political committees who fail to accommodate requests for public inspections as required by WAC 390-16-043.	\$0 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,200	\$1,200 - \$2,400
Limitations on employers or labor organizations			
Failed to maintain open for public inspection, during normal business hours, documents and books of accounts showing a copy of each employee's request for funds to be withheld for transfer to a political committee.	\$0 - \$600	\$600 - \$1,200	\$1,200 - \$2,400

(3) In addition to the requirements of RCW 42.17A.755, the commission may consider the nature of the violation and any relevant circumstances, including the following factors:

(a) The respondent's compliance history, including whether the noncompliance was isolated or limited in nature, indicative of systematic or ongoing problems, or part of a pattern of violations by the respondent, or in the case of a political committee or other entity, part of a pattern of violations by the respondent's officers, staff, principal decision makers, consultants, or sponsoring organization;

(b) The impact on the public, including whether the noncompliance deprived the public of timely or accurate information during a time-sensitive period, or otherwise had a significant or material impact on the public;

(c) Sophistication of respondent or the financing, staffing, or size of the respondent's campaign or organization;

(d) Amount of financial activity by the respondent during the statement period or election cycle;

(e) Whether the noncompliance resulted from a knowing or intentional effort to conceal, deceive or mislead, or violate the law or rule, or from collusive behavior;

(f) Whether the late or unreported activity was significant in amount or duration under the circumstances, including in proportion to the total amount of expenditures by the respondent in the campaign or statement period;

(g) Whether the respondent or any person, including an entity or organization, benefited politically or economically from the noncompliance;

(h) Personal emergency or illness of the respondent or member of his or her immediate family;

(i) Other emergencies such as fire, flood, or utility failure preventing filing;

(j) PDC staff or equipment error, including technical problems at the PDC preventing or delaying electronic filing;

(k) The respondent's demonstrated good-faith uncertainty concerning staff guidance or instructions;

(l) Corrective action or other remedial measures initiated by respondent prior to enforcement action, or promptly taken when noncompliance brought to respondent's attention (e.g., filing missing reports, amending incomplete or inaccurate reports, returning prohibited or overlimit contributions);

(m) Whether the respondent is a first-time filer;

(n) Good faith efforts to comply, including consultation with PDC staff prior to initiation of enforcement action and cooperation with PDC staff during enforcement action, and a demonstrated wish to acknowledge and take responsibility for the violation;

(o) Penalties imposed in factually similar cases; and

(p) Other factors relevant to a particular case.

(4) The commission may consider the factors in subsections (1) through (3) of this section in determining whether to suspend a portion or all of a penalty upon identified conditions, and whether to accept, reject, or modify a stipulated penalty amount recommended by the parties.

(5) Notwithstanding the above schedule, the commission may assess a penalty of up to ten thousand dollars per violation pursuant to RCW 42.17A.755, based on the aggravating factors set forth in subsections (1) through (3) of this section.