

Andrew Pilloud  
P.O. Box 4794  
Seattle, Washington 98194-0794  
(206) 641-7654  
[andrew@pilloud.us](mailto:andrew@pilloud.us)

October 13, 2013

Phil Stutzman, Director of Compliance  
State of Washington Public Disclosure Commission  
711 Capitol Way Room 206  
P.O. Box 40908  
Olympia, Washington 98504-0908

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 13 2013  
Public Disclosure Commission

Re: THOMAS WILLIAM ALBRO – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED  
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM  
LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

Dear Mr. Stutzman:

I am submitting to you a complaint regarding the violation of RCW 42.17A.555, which prohibits the use of public office or agency facilities in political campaigns. This complaint is regarding an ongoing violation that is probably affecting the outcome of the November 5, 2013 General Election. I believe this case requires an immediate and thorough investigation, as it may render the election void.

The Respondent is Commissioner Thomas William Albro, who is both the incumbent and a candidate for Port of Seattle Commissioner Position 4. He resides at 310 30th Avenue South, Seattle, Washington 98144. His telephone number is listed as (206) 930-4004 in his PDC filings.

In violating RCW 42.17A.555, I believe you will also find that Mr. Albro has accepted contributions from the Port of Seattle in excess of the limits for candidates imposed in RCW 42.17A.405. This is not a matter to be taken lightly, and is likely to require the taxpayers to spend millions of dollars on a special election. I urge you to subject Mr. Albro to the maximum civil penalty of three times the violation, between three million and seven and a half million dollars, as required under RCW 42.17A.750.

I have personally witnessed this violation multiple times between June 2013 and present. In addition, documents returned by the Port of Seattle, obtained by public disclosure requests, show the violations started no later than April 09, 2013 and have likely been happening for several months prior to that date. These records were received from and can be verified by Asia Linton, Public Disclosure Specialist at the Port of Seattle. Her office phone number is (206) 787-3392 and email is [linton.a@portseattle.org](mailto:linton.a@portseattle.org). Finally, most of these violations occurred in a public area of the Sea-Tac Airport. Any traveler passing through the airport from April to Present can be considered a witness. I can provide the contact information for travelers on request.

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that this complaint is complete, true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Thank you in advance for your assistance in this investigation. Please keep me apprised of progress and your determination on this matter.

Re: THOMAS WILLIAM ALBRO – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED  
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM  
LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 2 OF 7

RECEIVED

OCT 14 2013

**Statement of Facts**

On April 9, 2013 from 9:30 AM to 10:30 AM at the Port Commission Office, Mr. Albro was involved in the production of Airport Public Address Recordings (Exhibit 1). Again, on May 14, 2013 from 10:30 AM to 12:00 PM at the Sea-Tac airport Tokyo Conference Room, Mr. Albro was involved in the production of Audio Message Recordings (Exhibit 1). In both cases, Mr. Albro used Port of Seattle facilities and staff to produce a Public Service Announcement promoting his work on the century agenda at the Port of Seattle.

The resulting recordings (Exhibit 2A, 2B, 2C, and 2D) all begin the same way: "Hello, I'm Port of Seattle Commissioner Tom Albro". This makes it immediately clear to the listener that they are hearing from Mr. Albro and that he is the incumbent Port Commissioner. The recordings then go on to talk about the great job Mr. Albro and one of his fellow commissioners have been doing improving the economy or environment at the port.

In my experience with the port, I have found that the economy and the environment are the most important issues to voters in the Port of Seattle's district. In addition, I believe the tone of the recording suggests that it is a campaign advertisement. Even if there is doubt about the nature of these recordings as campaign advertisements, state law is clear on the matter in RCW 42.17A.575:

"No state-elected official or municipal officer may speak or appear in a public service announcement that is broadcast, show, or distributed in any form whatsoever during the period beginning January 1<sup>st</sup> and continuing through the general election if that official or officer is a candidate."

The law clearly prohibits the use of a public service announcement like the one produced for Mr. Albro. I can think of no reason for the production of a public service announcement that can not be used, unless it was produced as a campaign advertisement.

As is noted in Mr. Albro's agenda (Exhibit 1), this is a "Airport Public Address Recording". Immediately after their production, these recordings were put into use. They are being played thirty-two times per hour at the Sea-Tac airport (Exhibit 3). Not only were the port facilities used to produce the advertisement, they are being used to distribute the campaign materials. This is a use of public facilities and violation of RCW 42.17A.555.

The Port of Seattle has leased all rights for advertising and promotions at the Sea-Tac Airport to Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. dba, Clear Channel Airports (Exhibit 4). All advertising is controlled by Clear Channel, and use can be purchased from them. As the Port of Seattle has leased all advertisement rights to Clear Channel, either this space was stolen by the Port of Seattle, or an unreported campaign contribution and expenditure must have occurred to pay Clear Channel for the use of this space.

I contacted Blaine Shepherd, General Manager at Clear Channel Airports, who can be reached at (206) 494-4166. He informed me that they currently do not offer audio advertisements at the airport, and that the closest product they sell is video advertisements in baggage claim (Exhibit 5). This advertising only

Re: THOMAS WILLIAM ALBRO – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED  
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM  
LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 3 OF 7

covers a part of the baggage claim area, and would completely miss the frequent local business travelers who do not check bags. I believe this group includes a large number of voters and potential campaign contributors, significantly increasing the value of the advertising received by Mr. Albro. In addition, the best advertising available from Clear Channel is video only, with no audio component. It is much easier to ignore than the airport public address system, which routinely includes messages of importance. I can only conclude that the advertisement played over the public address system is of significantly more value than anything commercially available and would likely require a blanket contract with the airport at a cost of \$416,666.67 per month or \$2,500,000 over the six month period the advertisement has been playing (Exhibit 6).

If another candidate was to purchase the closest available advertising in the baggage claim area, it would require the purchase of 24 advertising units per month at \$7,500 each. This comes to a total of \$180,000 per month, or \$1,080,000 over the same 6 month period (Exhibit 7). Even in this case, there is a gross violation of campaign finance regulations. According to the Public Disclosure Commissions own records, the most ever spent on a Port of Seattle commissioner campaign was \$365,680.86 in 2011 (Exhibit 8). Even with this low valuation, it comes to three times the largest amount ever spent on a port commissioner race.

RECEIVED

OCT 13 2013

**Summary of Exhibits**

Public Disclosure Commission

**Exhibit 1: Extract from Port of Seattle calendars.**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September 9, 2013. It includes specific days from the port commissioners calendars. Of interest in this case are page 1 and page 4, which show the meetings at which Mr. Albro made recordings in port facilities.

**Exhibit 2A: Recording of Mr. Albro and Ms. Gregoire**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September 19, 2013. It is an audio recording of Mr. Albro and Ms. Gregoire. The transcript follows:

Tom: Hello, I'm Port of Seattle Commissioner Tom Albro.

Courtney: And I'm Commissioner Courtney Gregoire. Welcome to Sea-Tac Airport.

Tom: As a part of our Century Agenda, a 25 year vision for the region, we are determined to make Washington a preferred destination for international travelers, and to strengthen access to global markets for Northwest businesses.

Courtney: From apples and salmon to airplanes and software, our region benefits from international trade.

Tom: And all over the world people recognize the Pacific Northwest as a beautiful place to visit and do business. We're glad you're here!

Re: THOMAS WILLIAM ALBRO – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED  
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM  
LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 4 OF 7

**Exhibit 2B: Recording of Mr. Albro and Mr. Bryant**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September 19, 2013. It is an audio recording of Mr. Albro and Mr. Bryant. The transcript follows:

Tom: Hi, I'm Port of Seattle Commissioner Tom Albro.

Bill: And this is Commissioner Bill Bryant. Welcome to Sea-Tac, one of the greenest airports in the country.

Tom: Our dedication to making the Port of Seattle the greenest and most energy efficient port in North America is an essential part of our century agenda.

Bill: We're committed to balancing economic growth with environmental responsibility.

Tom: Because nothing matters if we don't preserve our environment for future generations.

Bill: And did I say this is Commissioner Bill Bryant?

Tom: Who?

Both: (Laughter)

**RECEIVED**

**OCT 14 2013**

**Public Disclosure Commission**

**Exhibit 2C: Recording of Mr. Albro and Ms. Bowman**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September 19, 2013. It is an audio recording of Mr. Albro and Ms. Bowman. The transcript follows:

Tom: Hello, I'm Port of Seattle Commissioner Tom Albro.

Stephanie: And I'm Commissioner Stephanie Bowman. The Port of Seattle supports over 200,000 jobs, directly and indirectly, in our region.

Tom: The Port also promotes small business growth and the development of a strong workforce as part of our Century Agenda.

Stephanie: This is important to accomplishing our vision of adding 100,000 new jobs here over the next 25 years.

Tom: More jobs, more economic growth, led by the Port of Seattle.

**Exhibit 2D: Recording of Mr. Albro and Mr. Creighton**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September

Re: THOMAS WILLIAM ALBRO – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED  
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM  
LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 5 OF 7

19, 2013. It is an audio recording of Mr. Albro and Mr. Creighton. The transcript follows:

Tom: Hello, I'm Port of Seattle Commissioner Tom Albro.

John: And I'm Commissioner John Creighton. Welcome to Sea-Tac Aiport, a leading international gateway and the Northwest's busiest.

Tom: We want to tell you about our efforts to create another 100,000 jobs here in the Northwest. It's our Century Agenda.

John: One way we can do this is by growing our number of flights and destinations. That's important for local businesses and tourism.

Tom: And... doing so means more jobs...that's what the Port of Seattle is all about.

### **Exhibit 3: Skybridge playlist**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September 19, 2013. It is a schedule showing that the recordings in exhibits 2A, 2B, 2C, and 2D are to be played at the airport entrance sky-bridges 32 times per hour. Other recordings to be played include a security announcement (4 times per hour), light-rail announcement (4 times per hour), and Quincy Jones advertisement (2 times per hour).

### **Exhibit 4: Lease Agreement with Clear Channel**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-218 on October 9, 2013. It is titled "Lease And Concession Agreement For Advertising And Promotions" and is the lease agreement giving Clear Channel exclusive rights to advertisements at the airport.

### **Exhibit 5: Clear Channel Advertising Request**

This exhibit is an email I received from Blane Shepard at Clear Channel on September 13, 2013. The attachment is Exhibit 7. The relevant text is as follows:

We do not have the capability to run :30 audio video. We do have static (.jpg) or motion (.swf) capabilities – however that we sell in increments of :10. I will attach an example of what they look like.

### **Exhibit 6: Port of Seattle revenue from Clear Channel**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-218 on October 9, 2013. It contains the revenue share that the port has received from Clear Channel every month in 2013. It shows that Clear Channel has fallen significantly short of the minimum revenue every month, and as a result has paid the port the contractual minimum of \$416,666.67 per month.

RECEIVED

OCT 14 2013

Public Disclosure Commission

Re: THOMAS WILLIAM ALBRO – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW  
PAGE 6 OF 7

**Exhibit 7: Clear Channel Pricing Sheet**

This exhibit is an email I received from Blane Shepard at Clear Channel on September 13, 2013. It shows that advertisements in baggage claim run \$7,500 for a for month long unit. Each unit is ten seconds every four minutes. The advertisements do not cover 5 of the baggage claim carousels.

**Exhibit 8: WILLIAM L BRYANT PDC report**

This exhibit is a printout I made from the Public Disclosure Commission's website on October 13, 2013. It shows that William L Bryant raised \$366,004.09 and spent 365,680.86 in is 2011 port commission race.

**Conclusion**

Given the presented facts and exhibits, I feel it is appropriate for the Public Disclosure Commission to review the actions of Thomas William Albro in order to determine whether he:

1. Misused public resources in the production and distribution of a campaign advertisement, in violation of RCW 42.17A.555.
2. Received unreported contributions and made unreported expenditures in violation of RCW 42.17A.405 and other laws.

If the commission finds that Mr. Albro did indeed violate one or more of these campaign finance regulations, I urge you to impose the maximum penalty authorized under RCW 42.17A.750: Ten-thousand dollars for each recording session, plus three times the value of the illegal campaign advertising. In addition, I urge you to immediately forward your findings to the state attorney general so the election may be held void and a special election held, as this violation probably affected the outcome of the current election.

**Certification**

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that this complaint is complete, true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

**RECEIVED**

Sincerely,



OCT 13 2013

Public Disclosure Commission

Andrew Pilloud

Exhibits: 11 <http://andrew.pilloud.us/sites/andrew.pilloud.us/files/PortOfSeattle2013-10-13Exhibits.zip>

cc: Richard Pope, Port of Seattle Commissioner Candidate

Re: THOMAS WILLIAM ALBRO – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED  
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM  
LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 7 OF 7

Emily Heffter, Seattle Times

Jeff Burnside, KOMO 4 News

Jeff Dubois, KIRO 7 Eyewitness News

Glen Morgan, Freedom Foundation

Elly Snow, Washington Coalition for Open Government

Bob Ferguson, Washington State Attorney General

<http://andrew.pilloud.us/blog/2013/10/13/port-seattle-millions-public-dollars-funneled-incumbents-campaigns>

**RECEIVED**

OCT 24 2013

**Public Disclosure Commission**

Andrew Pilloud  
P.O. Box 4794  
Seattle, Washington 98194-0794  
(206) 641-7654  
[andrew@pilloud.us](mailto:andrew@pilloud.us)

October 13, 2013

Phil Stutzman, Director of Compliance  
State of Washington Public Disclosure Commission  
711 Capitol Way Room 206  
P.O. Box 40908  
Olympia, Washington 98504-0908

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 14 2013  
Public Disclosure Commission

Re: JOHN WATSON CREIGHTON III – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED  
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM  
LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

Dear Mr. Stutzman:

I am submitting to you a complaint regarding the violation of RCW 42.17A.555, which prohibits the use of public office or agency facilities in political campaigns. This complaint is regarding an ongoing violation that is probably affecting the outcome of the November 5, 2013 General Election. I believe this case requires an immediate and thorough investigation, as it may render the election void.

The Respondent is Commissioner John Watson Creighton III, who is both the incumbent and a candidate for Port of Seattle Commissioner Position 1. He resides at 118 107th Avenue Northeast Apartment B208, Bellevue, Washington 98004. His telephone number is listed as (206) 320-1238 in his PDC filings.

In violating RCW 42.17A.555, I believe you will also find that Mr. Creighton has accepted contributions from the Port of Seattle in excess of the limits for candidates imposed in RCW 42.17A.405. This is not a matter to be taken lightly, and is likely to require the taxpayers to spend millions of dollars on a special election. I urge you to subject Mr. Creighton to the maximum civil penalty of three times the violation, between eight hundred thousand and seven and a half million dollars, under RCW 42.17A.750.

I have personally witnessed this violation multiple times between June 2013 and present. In addition, documents returned by the Port of Seattle, obtained by public disclosure requests, show the violations started no later than May 14, 2013 and have likely been happening for several months prior to that date. These records were received from and can be verified by Asia Linton, Public Disclosure Specialist at the Port of Seattle. Her office phone number is (206) 787-3392 and email is [linton.a@portseattle.org](mailto:linton.a@portseattle.org). Finally, most of these violations occurred in a public area of the Sea-Tac Airport. Any traveler passing through the airport from May to Present can be considered a witness. I can provide the contact information for travelers on request.

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that this complaint is complete, true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Thank you in advance for your assistance in this investigation. Please keep me apprised of progress and your determination on this matter.

OCT 14 2013

Public Disclosure Commission

Re: JOHN WATSON CREIGHTON III – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED  
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM  
LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 2 OF 5

**Statement of Facts**

On May 14, 2013 from 11:45 AM to 12:00 PM at the Sea-Tac airport Tokyo Conference Room, Mr. Creighton was involved in the production of a Audio Message Recording (Exhibit 1). Mr. Creighton used Port of Seattle facilities and staff to produce a Public Service Announcement promoting his work on the century agenda at the Port of Seattle.

In the resulting recording (Exhibit 2D) his first words are: "And I'm Commissioner John Creighton. Welcome to Sea-Tac Airport, a leading international gateway and the Northwest's busiest." This makes it immediately clear to the listener that they are hearing from Mr. Creighton and that he is the incumbent Port Commissioner. The recording then goes on to talk about the great job Mr. Creighton and one of his fellow commissioners have been doing improving the economy at the port.

In my experience with the port, I have found that the economy and the environment are the most important issues to voters in the Port of Seattle's district. In addition, I believe the tone of the recording suggests that it is a campaign advertisement. Even if there is doubt about the nature of these recordings as campaign advertisements, state law is clear on the matter in RCW 42.17A.575:

"No state-elected official or municipal officer may speak or appear in a public service announcement that is broadcast, show, or distributed in any form whatsoever during the period beginning January 1<sup>st</sup> and continuing through the general election if that official or officer is a candidate."

The law clearly prohibits the use of a public service announcement like the one produced for Mr. Creighton. I can think of no reason for the production of a public service announcement that can not be used, unless it was produced as a campaign advertisement.

Immediately after their production, these recordings were put into use. They are being played eight times per hour at the Sea-Tac airport (Exhibit 3). Not only were the port facilities used to produce the advertisement, they are being used to distribute the campaign materials. This is a use of public facilities and violation of RCW 42.17A.555.

The Port of Seattle has leased all rights for advertising and promotions at the Sea-Tac Airport to Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. dba Clear Channel Airports (Exhibit 4). All advertising is controlled by Clear Channel, and use can be purchased from them. As the Port of Seattle has leased all advertisement rights to Clear Channel, either this space was stolen by the Port of Seattle, or an unreported campaign contribution and expenditure must have occurred to pay Clear Channel for the use of this space.

I contacted Blaine Shepherd, General Manager at Clear Channel Airports, who can be reached at (206) 494-4166. He informed me that they currently do not offer audio advertisements at the airport, and that the closest product they sell is video advertisements in baggage claim (Exhibit 5). This advertising only covers a part of the baggage claim area, and would completely miss the frequent local business travelers who do not check bags. I believe this group includes a large number of voters and potential campaign contributors, significantly increasing the value of the advertising received by Mr. Creighton. In addition,

Re: JOHN WATSON CREIGHTON III – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 3 OF 5

the best advertising available from Clear Channel is video only, with no audio component. It is much easier to ignore than the airport public address system, which routinely includes messages of importance. I can only conclude that the advertisement played over the public address system is of significantly more value than anything commercially available and would likely require a blanket contract with the airport at a cost of \$416,666.67 per month or \$2,500,000 over the six month period the advertisement has been playing (Exhibit 6).

If another candidate was to purchase the closest available advertising in the baggage claim area, it would require the purchase of 6 advertising units per month at \$7,500 each. This comes to a total of \$45,000 per month, or \$270,000 over the same 6 month period (Exhibit 7). Even in this case, there is a gross violation of campaign finance regulations. According to the Public Disclosure Commissions own records, the most ever spent on a Port of Seattle commissioner campaign was \$365,680.86 in 2011 (Exhibit 8). Even with this low valuation, it comes to almost three quarters of the large amount spent on a port commissioner race.

RECEIVED

OCT 19 2013

Public Disclosure Commission

### Summary of Exhibits

#### Exhibit 1: Extract from Port of Seattle calendars.

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September 9, 2013. It includes specific days from the port commissioners calendars. Of interest in this case is page 5, which show the meeting at which Mr. Creighton made recordings in port facilities.

#### Exhibit 2D: Recording of Mr. Albro and Mr. Creighton

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September 19, 2013. It is an audio recording of Mr. Albro and Mr. Creighton. The transcript follows:

Tom: Hello, I'm Port of Seattle Commissioner Tom Albro.

John: And I'm Commissioner John Creighton. Welcome to Sea-Tac Aiport, a leading international gateway and the Northwest's busiest.

Tom: We want to tell you about our efforts to create another 100,000 jobs here in the Northwest. It's our Century Agenda.

John: One way we can do this is by growing our number of flights and destinations. That's important for local businesses and tourism.

Tom: And... doing so means more jobs...that's what the Port of Seattle is all about.

#### Exhibit 3: Skybridge playlist

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September

RECEIVED

OCT 14 2013  
Public Disclosure Commission

Re: JOHN WATSON CREIGHTON III – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREGISTERED CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 4 OF 5

19, 2013. It is a schedule showing that the recordings in exhibits 2A are to be played at the airport entrance sky-bridges 8 times per hour. Other recordings to be played include other commissioner advertisements (24 times per hour), a security announcement (4 times per hour), light-rail announcement (4 times per hour), and Quincy Jones advertisement (2 times per hour).

**Exhibit 4: Lease Agreement with Clear Channel**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-218 on October 9, 2013. It is titled "Lease And Concession Agreement For Advertising And Promotions" and is the lease agreement giving Clear Channel exclusive rights to advertisements at the airport.

**Exhibit 5: Clear Channel Advertising Request**

This exhibit is an email I received from Blane Shepard at Clear Channel on September 13, 2013. The attachment is Exhibit 7. The relevant text is as follows:

We do not have the capability to run :30 audio video. We do have static (.jpg) or motion (.swf) capabilities – however that we sell in increments of :10. I will attach an example of what they look like.

**Exhibit 6: Port of Seattle revenue from Clear Channel**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-218 on October 9, 2013. It contains the revenue share that the port has received from Clear Channel every month in 2013. It shows that Clear Channel has fallen significantly short of the minimum revenue every month, and as a result has paid the port the contractual minimum of \$416,666.67 per month.

**Exhibit 7: Clear Channel Pricing Sheet**

This exhibit is an email I received from Blane Shepard at Clear Channel on September 13, 2013. It shows that advertisements in baggage claim run \$7,500 for a for month long unit. Each unit is ten seconds every four minutes. The advertisements do not cover 5 of the baggage claim carousels.

**Exhibit 8: WILLIAM L BRYANT PDC report**

This exhibit is a printout I made from the Public Disclosure Commission's website on October 13, 2013. It shows that William L Bryant raised \$366,004.09 and spent 365,680.86 in is 2011 port commission race.

**Conclusion**

Given the presented facts and exhibits, I feel it is appropriate for the Public Disclosure Commission to review the actions of John Watson Creighton III in order to determine whether he:

1. Misused public resources in the production and distribution of a campaign advertisement, in

Re: JOHN WATSON CREIGHTON-III – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 5 OF 5

violation of RCW 42.17A.555.

2. Received unreported contributions and made unreported expenditures in violation of RCW 42.17A.405 and other laws.

If the commission finds that Mr. Creighton did indeed violate one or more of these campaign finance regulations, I urge you to impose the maximum penalty authorized under RCW 42.17A.750: Ten-thousand dollars for each recording session, plus three times the value of the illegal campaign advertising. In addition, I urge you to immediately forward your findings to the state attorney general so the election may be held void and a special election held, as this violation probably affected the outcome of the current election.

**Certification**

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that this complaint is complete, true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Sincerely,



Andrew Pilloud

Exhibits: 8 <http://andrew.pilloud.us/sites/andrew.pilloud.us/files/PortOfSeattle2013-10-13Exhibits.zip>

cc: Pete Lewis, Port of Seattle Commissioner Candidate  
Emily Heffter, Seattle Times  
Jeff Burnside, KOMO 4 News  
Jeff Dubois, KIRO 7 Eyewitness News  
Glen Morgan, Freedom Foundation  
Elly Snow, Washington Coalition for Open Government  
Bob Ferguson, Washington State Attorney General  
<http://andrew.pilloud.us/blog/2013/10/13/port-seattle-millions-public-dollars-funneled-incumbents-campaigns>

**RECEIVED**

OCT 14 2013

**Public Disclosure Commission**

Andrew Pilloud  
P.O. Box 4794  
Seattle, Washington 98194-0794  
(206) 641-7654  
[andrew@pilloud.us](mailto:andrew@pilloud.us)

RECEIVED  
OCT 14 2013  
Public Disclosure Commission

October 13, 2013

Phil Stutzman, Director of Compliance  
State of Washington Public Disclosure Commission  
711 Capitol Way Room 206  
P.O. Box 40908  
Olympia, Washington 98504-0908

Re: COURTNEY O'GRADY GREGOIRE – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND  
UNREPORTED CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE  
MAXIMUM LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

Dear Mr. Stutzman:

I am submitting to you a complaint regarding the violation of RCW 42.17A.555, which prohibits the use of public office or agency facilities in political campaigns. This complaint is regarding an ongoing violation that is probably affecting the outcome of the November 5, 2013 General Election. I believe this case requires an immediate and thorough investigation, as it may render the election void.

The Respondent is Commissioner Courtney O'Grady Gregoire, who is both the incumbent and a candidate for Port of Seattle Commissioner Position 2. She resides at 1555 Grand Ave, Seattle, Washington 98122. No other contact information is known.

In violating RCW 42.17A.555, I believe you will also find that Ms. Gregoire has accepted contributions from the Port of Seattle in excess of the limits for candidates imposed in RCW 42.17A.405. This is not a matter to be taken lightly, and is likely to require the taxpayers to spend millions of dollars on a special election. I urge you to subject Ms. Gregoire to the maximum civil penalty of three times the violation, between eight hundred thousand and seven and a half million dollars, under RCW 42.17A.750.

I have personally witnessed this violation multiple times between June 2013 and present. In addition, documents returned by the Port of Seattle, obtained by public disclosure requests, show the violations started no later than April 09, 2013 and have likely been happening for several months prior to that date. These records were received from and can be verified by Asia Linton, Public Disclosure Specialist at the Port of Seattle. Her office phone number is (206) 787-3392 and email is [linton.a@portseattle.org](mailto:linton.a@portseattle.org). Finally, most of these violations occurred in a public area of the Sea-Tac Airport. Any traveler passing through the airport from April to Present can be considered a witness. I can provide the contact information for travelers on request.

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that this complaint is complete, true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Thank you in advance for your assistance in this investigation. Please keep me apprised of progress and your determination on this matter.

Re: COURTNEY O'GRADY GREGOIRE – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND  
UNREPORTED CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE  
MAXIMUM LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 2 OF 5

**Statement of Facts**

On April 9, 2013 from 9:30 AM to 10:00 AM at the Port Commission Office, Ms. Gregoire was involved in the production of an Airport Public Address Recording (Exhibit 1). Ms. Gregoire used Port of Seattle facilities and staff to produce a Public Service Announcement promoting her work on the century agenda at the Port of Seattle.

In the resulting recording (Exhibit 2A) her first words are: “And I’m Commissioner Courtney Gregoire. Welcome to Sea-Tac Airport.” This makes it immediately clear to the listener that they are hearing from Ms. Gregoire and that she is the incumbent Port Commissioner. The recording then goes on to talk about the great job Ms. Gregoire and one of her fellow commissioners have been doing improving the economy at the port.

In my experience with the port, I have found that the economy and the environment are the most important issues to voters in the Port of Seattle's district. In addition, I believe the tone of the recording suggests that it is a campaign advertisement. Even if there is doubt about the nature of these recordings as campaign advertisements, state law is clear on the matter in RCW 42.17A.575:

“No state-elected official or municipal officer may speak or appear in a public service announcement that is broadcast, show, or distributed in any form whatsoever during the period beginning January 1<sup>st</sup> and continuing through the general election if that official or officer is a candidate.”

The law clearly prohibits the use of a public service announcement like the one produced for Ms. Gregoire. I can think of no reason for the production of a public service announcement that can not be used, unless it was produced as a campaign advertisement.

As is noted in Ms. Gregoire's agenda (Exhibit 1), this is a “Airport Public Address Recording”. Immediately after their production, these recordings were put into use. They are being played eight times per hour at the Sea-Tac airport (Exhibit 3). Not only were the port facilities used to produce the advertisement, they are being used to distribute the campaign materials. This is a use of public facilities and violation of RCW 42.17A.555.

The Port of Seattle has leased all rights for advertising and promotions at the Sea-Tac Airport to Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. dba Clear Channel Airports (Exhibit 4). All advertising is controlled by Clear Channel, and use can be purchased from them. As the Port of Seattle has leased all advertisement rights to Clear Channel, either this space was stolen by the Port of Seattle, or an unreported campaign contribution and expenditure must have occurred to pay Clear Channel for the use of this space.

I contacted Blaine Shepherd, General Manager at Clear Channel Airports, who can be reached at (206) 494-4166. He informed me that they currently do not offer audio advertisements at the airport, and that the closest product they sell is video advertisements in baggage claim (Exhibit 5). This advertising only covers a part of the baggage claim area, and would completely miss the frequent local business travelers who do not check bags. I believe this group includes a large number of potential campaign

**RECEIVED**

OCT 13 2013

Public Disclosure Commission

Re: COURTNEY O'GRADY GREGOIRE – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND  
UNREPORTED CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE  
MAXIMUM LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 3 OF 5

contributors, significantly increasing the value of the advertising received by Ms. Gregoire. In addition, the best advertising available from Clear Channel is video only, with no audio component. It is much easier to ignore than the airport public address system, which routinely includes messages of importance. I can only conclude that the advertisement played over the public address system is of significantly more value than anything commercially available and would likely require a blanket contract with the airport at a cost of \$416,666.67 per month or \$2,500,000 over the six month period the advertisement has been playing (Exhibit 6).

If another candidate was to purchase the closest available advertising in the baggage claim area, it would require the purchase of 6 advertising units per month at \$7,500 each. This comes to a total of \$45,000 per month, or \$270,000 over the same 6 month period (Exhibit 7). Even in this case, there is a gross violation of campaign finance regulations. According to the Public Disclosure Commissions own records, the most ever spent on a Port of Seattle commissioner campaign was \$365,680.86 in 2011 (Exhibit 8). Even with this low valuation, it comes to almost three quarters of the largest amount ever spent on a port commissioner race.

### **Summary of Exhibits**

#### **Exhibit 1: Extract from Port of Seattle calendars.**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September 9, 2013. It includes specific days from the port commissioners calendars. Of interest in this case is page 3, which show the meeting at which Ms. Gregoire made recordings in port facilities.

#### **Exhibit 2A: Recording of Mr. Albro and Ms. Gregoire**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September 19, 2013. It is an audio recording of Mr. Albro and Ms. Gregoire. The transcript follows:

Tom: Hello, I'm Port of Seattle Commissioner Tom Albro.

Courtney: And I'm Commissioner Courtney Gregoire. Welcome to Sea-Tac Airport.

Tom: As a part of our Century Agenda, a 25 year vision for the region, we are determined to make Washington a preferred destination for international travelers, and to strengthen access to global markets for Northwest businesses.

Courtney: From apples and salmon to airplanes and software, our region benefits from international trade.

Tom: And all over the world people recognize the Pacific Northwest as a beautiful place to visit and do business. We're glad you're here!

#### **Exhibit 3: Skybridge playlist**

**RECEIVED**

OCT 12 2013

**Public Disclosure Commission**

Re: COURTNEY O'GRADY GREGOIRE – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND  
UNREPORTED CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE  
MAXIMUM LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW  
PAGE 4 OF 5

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September 19, 2013. It is a schedule showing that the recordings in exhibits 2A are to be played at the airport entrance sky-bridges 8 times per hour. Other recordings to be played include other commissioner advertisements (24 times per hour), a security announcement (4 times per hour), light-rail announcement (4 times per hour), and Quincy Jones advertisement (2 times per hour).

**Exhibit 4: Lease Agreement with Clear Channel**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-218 on October 9, 2013. It is titled "Lease And Concession Agreement For Advertising And Promotions" and is the lease agreement giving Clear Channel exclusive rights to advertisements at the airport.

**Exhibit 5: Clear Channel Advertising Request**

This exhibit is an email I received from Blane Shepard at Clear Channel on September 13, 2013. The attachment is Exhibit 7. The relevant text is as follows:

We do not have the capability to run :30 audio video. We do have static (.jpg) or motion (.swf) capabilities – however that we sell in increments of :10. I will attach an example of what they look like.

**Exhibit 6: Port of Seattle revenue from Clear Channel**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-218 on October 9, 2013. It contains the revenue share that the port has received from Clear Channel every month in 2013. It shows that Clear Channel has fallen significantly short of the minimum revenue every month, and as a result has paid the port the contractual minimum of \$416,666.67 per month.

**Exhibit 7: Clear Channel Pricing Sheet**

This exhibit is an email I received from Blane Shepard at Clear Channel on September 13, 2013. It shows that advertisements in baggage claim run \$7,500 for a for month long unit. Each unit is ten seconds every four minutes. The advertisements do not cover 5 of the baggage claim carousels.

**Exhibit 8: WILLIAM L BRYANT PDC report**

This exhibit is a printout I made from the Public Disclosure Commission's website on October 13, 2013. It shows that William L Bryant raised \$366,004.09 and spent 365,680.86 in is 2011 port commission race.

**Conclusion**

Given the presented facts and exhibits, I feel it is appropriate for the Public Disclosure Commission to review the actions of Courtney O'Grady Gregoire in order to determine whether she:

**RECEIVED**

OCT 14 2013

Public Disclosure Commission

Re: COURTNEY O'GRADY GREGOIRE – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND  
UNREPORTED CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE  
MAXIMUM LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 5 OF 5

1. Misused public resources in the production and distribution of a campaign advertisement, in violation of RCW 42.17A.555.
2. Received unreported contributions and made unreported expenditures in violation of RCW 42.17A.405 and other laws.

If the commission finds that Ms. Gregoire did indeed violate one or more of these campaign finance regulations, I urge you to impose the maximum penalty authorized under RCW 42.17A.750: Ten-thousand dollars for each recording session, plus three times the value of the illegal campaign advertising. In addition, I urge you to immediately forward your findings to the state attorney general so the election may be held void and a special election held, as this violation probably affected the outcome of the current election.

**Certification**

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that this complaint is complete, true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Sincerely,



Andrew Pilloud

Exhibits: 8 <http://andrew.pilloud.us/sites/andrew.pilloud.us/files/PortOfSeattle2013-10-13Exhibits.zip>

cc: John Naubert, Port of Seattle Commissioner Candidate  
Emily Heffter, Seattle Times  
Jeff Burnside, KOMO 4 News  
Jeff Dubois, KIRO 7 Eyewitness News  
Glen Morgan, Freedom Foundation  
Elly Snow, Washington Coalition for Open Government  
Bob Ferguson, Washington State Attorney General  
<http://andrew.pilloud.us/blog/2013/10/13/port-seattle-millions-public-dollars-funneled-incumbents-campaigns>

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 14 2013  
Public Disclosure Commission

Andrew Pilloud  
P.O. Box 4794  
Seattle, Washington 98194-0794  
(206) 641-7654  
[andrew@pilloud.us](mailto:andrew@pilloud.us)

**RECEIVED**

OCT 13 2013

**Public Disclosure Commission**

October 13, 2013

Phil Stutzman, Director of Compliance  
State of Washington Public Disclosure Commission  
711 Capitol Way Room 206  
P.O. Box 40908  
Olympia, Washington 98504-0908

Re: STEPHANIE LYNNE BOWMAN – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED  
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM  
LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

Dear Mr. Stutzman:

I am submitting to you a complaint regarding the violation of RCW 42.17A.555, which prohibits the use of public office or agency facilities in political campaigns. This complaint is regarding an ongoing violation that is probably affecting the outcome of the November 5, 2013 General Election. I believe this case requires an immediate and thorough investigation, as it may render the election void.

The Respondent is Commissioner Stephanie Lynne Bowman, who is both the incumbent and a candidate for Port of Seattle Commissioner Position 3. She resides at 3115 17th Avenue South, Seattle, Washington 98144. Her telephone number is listed as (206) 898-3043 in her PDC filings.

In violating RCW 42.17A.555, I believe you will also find that Ms. Bowman has accepted contributions from the Port of Seattle in excess of the limits for candidates imposed in RCW 42.17A.405. This is not a matter to be taken lightly, and is likely to require the taxpayers to spend millions of dollars on a special election. I urge you to subject Ms. Bowman to the maximum civil penalty of three times the violation, between eight hundred thousand and seven and a half million dollars, under RCW 42.17A.750.

I have personally witnessed this violation multiple times between June 2013 and present. In addition, documents returned by the Port of Seattle, obtained by public disclosure requests, show the violations started no later than May 14, 2013 and have likely been happening for several months prior to that date. These records were received from and can be verified by Asia Linton, Public Disclosure Specialist at the Port of Seattle. Her office phone number is (206) 787-3392 and email is [linton.a@portseattle.org](mailto:linton.a@portseattle.org). Finally, most of these violations occurred in a public area of the Sea-Tac Airport. Any traveler passing through the airport from May to Present can be considered a witness. I can provide the contact information for travelers on request.

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that this complaint is complete, true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Thank you in advance for your assistance in this investigation. Please keep me apprised of progress and your determination on this matter.

Re: STEPHANIE LYNNE BOWMAN – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED  
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM  
LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 2 OF 5

**RECEIVED**

OCT 14 2013

**Statement of Facts**

On May 14, 2013 from 11:30 AM to 11:45 AM at the Sea-Tac airport Tokyo Conference Room, Ms. Bowman was involved in the production of Audio Message Recordings (Exhibit 1). Ms. Bowman used Port of Seattle facilities and staff to produce a Public Service Announcement promoting her work on the century agenda at the Port of Seattle.

Public Disclosure Commission

In the resulting recording (Exhibit 2C) her first words are: "And I'm Commissioner Stephanie Bowman. The Port of Seattle supports over 200,000 jobs, directly and indirectly, in our region." This makes it immediately clear to the listener that they are hearing from Ms. Bowman and that she is the incumbent Port Commissioner. The recording then goes on to talk about the great job Ms. Bowman and one of her fellow commissioners have been doing improving the economy at the port.

In my experience with the port, I have found that the economy and the environment are the most important issues to voters in the Port of Seattle's district. In addition, I believe the tone of the recording suggests that it is a campaign advertisement. Even if there is doubt about the nature of these recordings as campaign advertisements, state law is clear on the matter in RCW 42.17A.575:

"No state-elected official or municipal officer may speak or appear in a public service announcement that is broadcast, show, or distributed in any form whatsoever during the period beginning January 1<sup>st</sup> and continuing through the general election if that official or officer is a candidate."

The law clearly prohibits the use of a public service announcement like the one produced for Ms. Bowman. I can think of no reason for the production of a public service announcement that can not be used, unless it was produced as a campaign advertisement.

Immediately after their production, these recordings were put into use. They are being played eight times per hour at the Sea-Tac airport (Exhibit 3). Not only were the port facilities used to produce the advertisement, they are being used to distribute the campaign materials. This is a use of public facilities and violation of RCW 42.17A.555.

The Port of Seattle has leased all rights for advertising and promotions at the Sea-Tac Airport to Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. dba Clear Channel Airports (Exhibit 4). All advertising is controlled by Clear Channel, and use can be purchased from them. As the Port of Seattle has leased all advertisement rights to Clear Channel, either this space was stolen by the Port of Seattle, or an unreported campaign contribution and expenditure must have occurred to pay Clear Channel for the use of this space.

I contacted Blaine Shepherd, General Manager at Clear Channel Airports, who can be reached at (206) 494-4166. He informed me that they currently do not offer audio advertisements at the airport, and that the closest product they sell is video advertisements in baggage claim (Exhibit 5). This advertising only covers a part of the baggage claim area, and would completely miss the frequent local business travelers who do not check bags. I believe this group includes a large number of voters and potential campaign contributors, significantly increasing the value of the advertising received by Ms. Bowman. In addition,

Re: STEPHANIE LYNNE BOWMAN – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 3 OF 5

the best advertising available from Clear Channel is video only, with no audio component. It is much easier to ignore than the airport public address system, which routinely includes messages of importance. I can only conclude that the advertisement played over the public address system is of significantly more value than anything commercially available and would likely require a blanket contract with the airport at a cost of \$416,666.67 per month or \$2,500,000 over the six month period the advertisement has been playing (Exhibit 6).

If another candidate was to purchase the closest available advertising in the baggage claim area, it would require the purchase of 6 advertising units per month at \$7,500 each. This comes to a total of \$45,000 per month, or \$270,000 over the same 6 month period (Exhibit 7). Even in this case, there is a gross violation of campaign finance regulations. According to the Public Disclosure Commissions own records, the most ever spent on a Port of Seattle commissioner campaign was \$365,680.86 in 2011 (Exhibit 8). Even with this low valuation, it comes to almost three quarters of the largest amount ever spent on a port commissioner race.

### **Summary of Exhibits**

#### **Exhibit 1: Extract from Port of Seattle calendars.**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September 9, 2013. It includes specific days from the port commissioners calendars. Of interest in this case is page 6, which show the meeting at which Ms. Bowman made recordings in port facilities.

#### **Exhibit 2C: Recording of Mr. Albro and Ms. Bowman**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September 19, 2013. It is an audio recording of Mr. Albro and Ms. Bowman. The transcript follows:

Tom: Hello, I'm Port of Seattle Commissioner Tom Albro.

Stephanie: And I'm Commissioner Stephanie Bowman. The Port of Seattle supports over 200,000 jobs, directly and indirectly, in our region.

Tom: The Port also promotes small business growth and the development of a strong workforce as part of our Century Agenda.

Stephanie: This is important to accomplishing our vision of adding 100,000 new jobs here over the next 25 years.

Tom: More jobs, more economic growth, led by the Port of Seattle.

#### **Exhibit 3: Skybridge playlist**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-196 on September

**RECEIVED**

OCT 14 2013

**Public Disclosure Commission**

Re: STEPHANIE LYNNE BOWMAN – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 4 OF 5

19, 2013. It is a schedule showing that the recordings in exhibits 2A are to be played at the airport entrance sky-bridges 8 times per hour. Other recordings to be played include other commissioner advertisements (24 times per hour), a security announcement (4 times per hour), light-rail announcement (4 times per hour), and Quincy Jones advertisement (2 times per hour).

**Exhibit 4: Lease Agreement with Clear Channel**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-218 on October 9, 2013. It is titled "Lease And Concession Agreement For Advertising And Promotions" and is the lease agreement giving Clear Channel exclusive rights to advertisements at the airport.

**Exhibit 5: Clear Channel Advertising Request**

This exhibit is an email I received from Blane Shepard at Clear Channel on September 13, 2013. The attachment is Exhibit 7. The relevant text is as follows:

We do not have the capability to run :30 audio video. We do have static (.jpg) or motion (.swf) capabilities – however that we sell in increments of :10. I will attach an example of what they look like.

**Exhibit 6: Port of Seattle revenue from Clear Channel**

This exhibit was received in response to Port of Seattle Public Records Request 13-218 on October 9, 2013. It contains the revenue share that the port has received from Clear Channel every month in 2013. It shows that Clear Channel has fallen significantly short of the minimum revenue every month, and as a result has paid the port the contractual minimum of \$416,666.67 per month.

**Exhibit 7: Clear Channel Pricing Sheet**

This exhibit is an email I received from Blane Shepard at Clear Channel on September 13, 2013. It shows that advertisements in baggage claim run \$7,500 for a for month long unit. Each unit is ten seconds every four minutes. The advertisements do not cover 5 of the baggage claim carousels.

**Exhibit 8: WILLIAM L BRYANT PDC report**

This exhibit is a printout I made from the Public Disclosure Commission's website on October 13, 2013. It shows that William L Bryant raised \$366,004.09 and spent 365,680.86 in is 2011 port commission race.

**Conclusion**

Given the presented facts and exhibits, I feel it is appropriate for the Public Disclosure Commission to review the actions of Stephanie Lynne Bowman in order to determine whether she:

1. Misused public resources in the production and distribution of a campaign advertisement in

**RECEIVED**

OCT 13 2013

**Public Disclosure Commission**

Re: STEPHANIE LYNNE BOWMAN – VIOLATION OF RCW 42.17A.555 AND UNREPORTED CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AND EXPENDITURES EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW

PAGE 5 OF 5

violation of RCW 42.17A.555.

2. Received unreported contributions and made unreported expenditures in violation of RCW 42.17A.405 and other laws.

If the commission finds that Ms. Bowman did indeed violate one or more of these campaign finance regulations, I urge you to impose the maximum penalty authorized under RCW 42.17A.750: Ten-thousand dollars for each recording session, plus three times the value of the illegal campaign advertising. In addition, I urge you to immediately forward your findings to the state attorney general so the election may be held void and a special election held, as this violation probably affected the outcome of the current election.

**Certification**

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that this complaint is complete, true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Sincerely,



Andrew Pilloud

Exhibits: 8 <http://andrew.pilloud.us/sites/andrew.pilloud.us/files/PortOfSeattle2013-10-13Exhibits.zip>

cc: Michael Wolfe, Port of Seattle Commissioner Candidate  
Emily Heffter, Seattle Times  
Jeff Burnside, KOMO 4 News  
Jeff Dubois, KIRO 7 Eyewitness News  
Glen Morgan, Freedom Foundation  
Elly Snow, Washington Coalition for Open Government  
Bob Ferguson, Washington State Attorney General  
<http://andrew.pilloud.us/blog/2013/10/13/port-seattle-millions-public-dollars-funneled-incumbents-campaigns>

**RECEIVED**

OCT 12 2013

**Public Disclosure Commission**