

[Ehallock.law](#) replied (Tue, 29 May at 10:01 AM)

to : pdc@pdc.wa.gov

Here is my response.

The PDC encouraged me to file a complaint against McCabe's campaign.

There is nothing false or defamatory. Everything is factual. My opponent listed Diaz as an expenditure. I pointed out the business was unlicensed. McCabe's campaign said it was an accident.

Ms. Diaz then filed a business license. She is registered with BLS as a religious entities. I have expressed concern that she is mixing religion in politics, with the exact words of her business license, that she is assisting community groups. I have every right to express this concern.

I'd also like to respond the words phone bank were immediately removed from my post. This person is very closely watching my Facebook and I would like some solid evidence of how she is NOT connected to the McCabe campaign.

Before I received this complaint I began communicating with another complainant against McCabe. Ms. Diaz's complaint against me is akin to an anti-SLAPP to stop my questions of the McCabe campaign and potentially filing a PDC complaint against them.

Also attached is my current FB on the subject, I have not edited anything. Nothing false or defamatory. My opponent supports public grants going to religious organizations, including one run by a Republican local co-chair running for county commissioner, who is her close friend. The two of them were on another mysterious unlicensed organization called "Homelessness for Gina McCabe." This a central theme of my campaign, religion being mixed with politics and a lack of transparency. Given McCabe's record of incorrect reporting allegations, I do not believe a \$500 expenditure to a religious campaign was a mistake. Ms. Diaz never reached out to me to deny the claim, she only reached out to you for the retraction. Even if Ms. Diaz doesn't work for McCabe, the fact is, McCabe reported it, and Ms. Diaz didn't have a business license. She must be in communication with McCabe's campaign in some capacity, otherwise why is she filing for a city business license a few days after I bring this to the campaign's attention, not that of Ms. Diaz?

Finally, she's a religious group with BLS, which I point out, and I have a right to tell my followers I want religion out of politics.

There's no actual malice here, the complainant has not included the entire statute in her comolaint. I genuinely believe the McCabe campaign is lying, did intend to hire Diaz, that Diaz is possibly a grant coordinator at Yakima Valley Community Foundation or Heritage University, looking for a future back scratch from her legislator. Of course once I complained Diaz can't work for them now. I genuinely believe the McCabe campaign put Suzana Diaz up to this complaint against me to chill what they know is coming, my own complaint against them. There's absolutely no reason for someone to have a filing with BLS since 2016 (which is not SD consulting as reported on McCabe's form, by the way, and completely unsearchable, as I correctly indicated, but I only found from the UBI from the Yakima business license), and all of a sudden file for a Yakima business license four days after I complain about the lack of government filings and transparency.

Hope this is helpful.